Communications in Mathematical Analysis

Conference **03** (2011), pp. 23–41 Proceedings of "*Analysis, Mathematical Physics and Applications*" held in Ixtapa, Mexico, March 1-5, 2010 ISSN 1938-9787

#### www.math-res-pub.org/cma

# EIGENVALUES OF HESSENBERG TOEPLITZ MATRICES GENERATED BY SYMBOLS WITH SEVERAL SINGULARITIES \*

# J. M. BOGOYA<sup>†</sup>

Departamento de Matemáticas, CINVESTAV del I.P.N., Ciudad de México, Apartado Postal 14-740, 07000, México

## A. BÖTTCHER<sup>‡</sup>

Fakultät für Mathematik, Technische Universität Chemnitz, Chemnitz, 09107, Germany

# S. M. GRUDSKY<sup>§</sup>

Departamento de Matemáticas, CINVESTAV del I.P.N., Ciudad de México, Apartado Postal 14-740, 07000, México

## **E. A. MAKSIMENKO**<sup>¶</sup>

Escuela de Física y Matemáticas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Ciudad de México, 07730, México

## (Communicated by Vladimir Rabinovich)

**Abstract.** In a recent paper, we established asymptotic formulas for the eigenvalues of the  $n \times n$  truncations of certain infinite Hessenberg Toeplitz matrices as n goes to infinity. The symbol of the Toeplitz matrices was of the form  $a(t) = t^{-1}(1-t)^{\alpha}f(t)$   $(t \in \mathbb{T})$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive real number but not an integer and f is a smooth function in  $H^{\infty}$ . Thus, a has a single power singularity at the point 1. In the present work we extend the results to symbols with a finite number of power singularities. To be more precise, we consider symbols of the form  $a(t) = t^{-1}f(t)\prod_{k=1}^{K}(1-t/t_k)^{\alpha_k}$   $(t \in \mathbb{T})$ , where  $t_k = e^{i\theta_k}$ , the arguments  $\theta_k$  are all different, and the exponents  $\alpha_k$  are positive real numbers but not integers. **Keywords.** Toeplitz matrix, eigenvalue, Fourier integral, asymptotic expansion.

MSC 2010. Primary 47B35. Secondary 15A15, 15A18, 47N50, 65F15.

# **1** Introduction and main results

Given a function  $a \in L^1$  on the unit circle in the complex plane  $\mathbb{T}$ , we denote by

$$a_k = \int_0^{2\pi} a(e^{i\theta}) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta/2\pi, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

<sup>\*</sup>We acknowledge support of this work by a grant of the DAAD, by CONACYT grants 219345, 80503, 102800, and by PROMEP (México) "Proyecto de Redes".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>E-mail address: mbogoya@math.cinvestav.mx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>E-mail address: aboettch@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>E-mail address: grudsky@math.cinvestav.mx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>E-mail address: maximenko@esfm.ipn.mx

the *k*th Fourier coefficient and by  $T_n(a)$  the  $n \times n$  Toeplitz matrix  $(a_{j-k})_{j,k=1}^n$ . We are interested in the behavior of the eigenvalues of  $T_n(a)$  as *n* goes to infinity. The function *a* is usually referred to as the symbol or the generating function of the sequence  $\{T_n(a)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

For real-valued functions *a* the matrices  $T_n(a)$  are all Hermitian and a number of results on the asymptotics of the eigenvalues of  $T_n(a)$  are available in this case: see, for example, [6], [12], [15], [17], [19], [20], [21], [22], [24], [25], [27], [28]. In this case the eigenvalues mimic in the one or other sense the distribution of the values of the function *a* at equispaced points on the unit circle.

The picture is less complete for complex-valued symbols. Papers [10], [14], [18] are devoted to the limiting behavior of the eigenvalues of  $T_n(a)$  if a is a rational function, while papers [1] and [26] embark on the asymptotic eigenvalue distribution in the case of non-smooth symbols. In [23] and [26], it was observed that if  $a \in L^{\infty}$  and the essential range  $\mathcal{R}(a)$  does not separate the plane, then the eigenvalues of  $T_n(a)$  approximate  $\mathcal{R}(a)$ , which resembles the Hermitian case. Many of the results of the papers cited above can also be found in the books [5], [7], [8].

An extreme situation is the one where  $a_k = 0$  for  $k \le -1$ . Then, the matrices  $T_n(a)$  are lower triangular and hence the spectrum sp $T_n(a)$  is just the singleton  $\{a_0\}$ . Note that  $a_0$  captures almost no information about the function aitself. The first interesting case beyond this trivial situation is the one where  $T_n(a)$  has an additional super-diagonal and thus is a Hessenberg Toeplitz matrix. Of course, this happens if and only if  $a_k = 0$  for  $k \le -2$ . Such symbols can be analytically continued into the punctured disk 0 < |z| < 1, which, as pointed out in [18] and [26], can result in an eigenvalues distribution along points and curves that are very different from the range  $\mathcal{R}(a)$ . On the other hand, the presence of singularities in the symbol causes the opposite tendency, that is, it somehow forces the eigenvalues to mimic the range [26].

In [4], we considered symbols with a singularity of the type  $(1-t)^{\alpha}$   $(t \in \mathbb{T})$  in order to illustrate certain instability phenomena in the eigenvalue distribution. The eigenvalues of the Hessenberg Toeplitz matrices generated by  $a(t) = t^{-1}(1-t)^{\alpha}$  were studied in [2]. The recent papers [9] and [16] contain intriguing numerical experiments for individual eigenvalues of Toeplitz matrices whose symbols have a so-called Fisher-Hartwig singularity. These are special symbols that are smooth on  $\mathbb{T}$  minus a single point but not smooth on the entire circle  $\mathbb{T}$ ; see [7], [8]. Papers [9] and [16] motivated us to take up the singularity  $(1-t)^{\alpha}$  again, and in [3] we established fairly precise results on the eigenvalues of  $T_n(a)$  in the case where  $a(t) = t^{-1}(1-t)^{\alpha}f(t)$  and f satisfies certain smoothness and analyticity requirements. In the present paper, we generalize these results to symbols with several singularities of the power type.

Let  $H^{\infty}$  be the usual Hardy space of (boundary values of) bounded analytic functions in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . Given  $a \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , we denote by wind<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>(a) the winding number of a about a point  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}(a)$  and by  $\mathcal{D}(a)$  the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}(a)$  for which wind<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>(a)  $\neq 0$ . In this paper we study the eigenvalues of  $T_n(a)$  for symbols  $a(t) = t^{-1}f(t)\prod_{k=1}^{K}(1-t/t_k)^{\alpha_k}$  ( $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ), where f is a smooth function subject to additional conditions, the points  $t_k = e^{i\theta_k}$  are all different, and the exponents  $\alpha_k$  are distinct positive real numbers but not integers. Thus, we require in particular that  $\alpha_k \neq \alpha_\ell$  for  $k \neq \ell$ . Our approach also works if two or more of the exponents  $\alpha_k$  coincide, although then a series of technical details emerges. To keep this paper within a reasonable volume, we decided not to embark on the case of coinciding exponents here.

We enumerate the singularity points  $t_k$  as follows: let  $t_1$  be such that  $\alpha_1 = \min_{1 \le k \le K} \{\alpha_k\}$  and number the remaining  $t_k$  counterclockwise. Let  $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^K$  be the connected components of  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_K\}$  and denote by clos  $T_k$  be the arc  $T_k$  together with its two endpoints. Let h(t) := a(t)t and  $h_0$  be its zeroth Fourier coefficient. We assume that a has the following properties.

- 1.  $h \in H^{\infty}$  and  $h_0 \neq 0$ .
- 2.  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ .
- 3. *h* can be analytically extended to an open neighborhood *W* of  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_K\}$  not containing the set  $\{t_1, \ldots, t_K\}$ .
- 4. The derivative a'(t) does not vanish for  $t \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_K\}$ , each  $a(\operatorname{clos} T_k)$  is a Jordan curve which surrounds the points in its interior clockwise, and for  $k \neq \ell$ , the interiors of the curves  $a(\operatorname{clos} T_k)$  and  $a(\operatorname{clos} T_\ell)$  are disjoint.

Figure 2 shows two concrete examples of such functions.

If *f* is identically 1, that is, if  $a(t) = t^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{K} (1 - t/t_k)^{\alpha_k}$ , then properties 1 to 4 are satisfied if and only if  $\sigma := \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k < 2$ . To see this, let *t* revolve the unit circle once counterclockwise starting at  $t_1$ . We have

$$a(t) = t^{-1} (1 - t/t_1)^{\sigma} \prod_{k=2}^{K} \left( \frac{1 - t/t_k}{1 - t/t_1} \right)^{\alpha_k}$$

Taking into account that the argument of  $(1 - t/t_k)/(1 - t/t_1)$  is piecewise constant and that  $t^{-1}(1 - t/t_1)^{\sigma}$  describes a loop that encircles the points in its interior exactly once clockwise if and only if  $\sigma < 2$ , it is not difficult to see that the range of *a* is a flower with *K* non-overlapping petals and that the petals surround their interiors exactly once clockwise if and only if  $\sigma < 2$ .

Let  $D_n(a)$  denote the determinant of  $T_n(a)$ . Thus, the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $T_n(a)$  are the solutions of the equation  $D_n(a-\lambda) = 0$ . Our assumptions imply that  $T_n(a)$  is a Hessenberg matrix, that is, it arises from a lower triangular matrix by adding the super-diagonal. This circumstance together with the Baxter-Schmidt formula for Toeplitz determinants allows us to express  $D_n(a-\lambda)$  as a Fourier integral. The value of this integral mainly depends on  $\lambda$  and on the singularity of each  $(1 - t/t_k)^{\alpha_k}$  at the point  $t_k$ . Let  $W_0$  be a small open neighborhood of zero in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We show that for every point  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a) \cap (a(W) \setminus W_0)$  there is a unique point  $t_\lambda \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  such that  $a(t_\lambda) = \lambda$ . After exploring the contributions of  $\lambda$  and the singular points  $t_k$  to the Fourier integral, we get the following asymptotic expansion for  $D_n(a - \lambda)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $a(t) = t^{-1}h(t)$  be a symbol with properties 1 to 4. Then, for every small open neighborhood  $W_0$  of zero in  $\mathbb{C}$ , every  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a) \cap (a(W) \setminus W_0)$ , and every real positive  $\mu$ ,

$$D_n(a-\lambda) = (-h_0)^{n+1} \left( \frac{1}{t_{\lambda}^{n+2} a'(t_{\lambda})} - \sum_{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{\lambda^{s+1} t_k^n n^{\alpha_k s + \ell + 1}} + R_1(\lambda, n) \right),$$
(1.1)

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$  is the collection of all the triples  $(k, \ell, s)$  such that  $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ , and  $\alpha_k s + \ell + 1 < \mu$ ;

$$A_{k,\ell,s} = \frac{\sin(\alpha_k \pi s) \Gamma(\alpha_k s + \ell + 1)}{i^\ell \pi t_k^{s+1} \ell!} \left[ \frac{f^s(t_k e^{i\theta}) g^{\alpha_k s}(\theta) \prod_{j \neq k} (1 - e^{i\theta} t_k/t_j)^{\alpha_j s}}{e^{i\theta(s+1)}} \right]_{\theta=0}^{(\ell)},$$

 $g(\theta) = (e^{i\theta} - 1)/(i\theta)$ , and  $R_1(\lambda, n) = O(1/n^{\mu})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ .

Of course, in Theorem 1.1 the superscript  $(\ell)$  means "take  $\ell$  derivatives with respect to  $\theta$ " and the subscript  $\theta = 0$  means "evaluate in  $\theta = 0$ ".

The order of the sum in (1.1) is  $1/n^{\alpha_1+1}$ . Thus, among the singularities of the symbol *a*, the factor  $(1 - t/t_1)^{\alpha_1}$  makes the biggest contribution to  $D_n(a - \lambda)$ . Changing to the variable  $t/t_1$  in *a*, we can obtain a new symbol  $\tilde{a}$  in which the first singularity point will be 1. Moreover, sp $T_n(a) = \text{sp}T_n(\tilde{a})$ ; see [18] or [5, Section 11.1] for details. In order to simplify some of our forthcoming results, we henceforth assume without loss of generality that  $t_1 = 1$ .

Let  $\omega_n := \exp(-2\pi i/n)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_n := \{j \in \{0, ..., n-1\}: a(\omega_n^j) \notin W_0\}$ , also let  $\gamma := \min_{1 \le k \le K} \{\alpha_k : \alpha_k > \alpha_1\}$  and  $\zeta := \min\{1, \alpha_1, \gamma - \alpha_1\}$ . As  $\mu$  is any real positive number, we can develop (1.1) with an arbitrary error bound, but to make our calculations reasonable and readable, we use Theorem 1.1 with  $\mu = 2\zeta + \alpha_1 + 1$  to obtain the following two results.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $a(t) = t^{-1}h(t)$  be a symbol with properties 1 to 4. Then, for every small open neighborhood  $W_0$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$  and every  $j \in \mathcal{J}_n$ , the equation  $D_n(a - \lambda) = 0$  has a solution  $\lambda = \lambda_{j,n}$  such that

$$t_{\lambda_{j,n}} = \omega_n^j n^{(\alpha_1+1)/n} \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{[1+2\zeta]} \log^m \left( \frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_n^{2j} a'(\omega_n^j)} \right) \frac{1}{m! n^m} - \frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{t_k^n a^{s-1}(\omega_n^j) n^{\alpha_k s + \ell - \alpha_1 + 1}} + R_2(j,n) \right),$$
(1.2)

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the collection of all triples  $(k, \ell, s) \neq (1, 0, 1)$  such that  $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , and  $\alpha_{k}s + \ell < 2\zeta + \alpha_{1}$ . The remainder satisfies

$$R_2(j,n) = O(1/n^{2\zeta+1}) + O(\log n/n^2)$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $j \in \mathcal{J}_n$ .

The terms  $\log^{m}(\cdot)/(m!n^{m})$  are large when  $\omega_{n}^{j}$  is close to one of the singularity points  $t_{j}$  and are small when  $\omega_{n}^{j}$  is far from all the  $t_{j}$ 's. Thus, these terms correct the behavior of the eigenvalues close to each singularity point.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $a(t) = t^{-1}h(t)$  be a symbol with properties 1 to 4. Then, for every small neighborhood  $W_0$  of zero in  $\mathbb{C}$  and every  $j \in \mathcal{J}_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{j,n} &= a(\omega_n^j) + (\alpha_1 + 1)\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j) \frac{\log n}{n} \\ &+ \omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j) \sum_{m=1}^{[1+2\zeta]} \log^m \left( \frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_n^{2j} a'(\omega_n^j)} \right) \frac{1}{m! n^m} \\ &- \frac{\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{t_k^n a^{s-1}(\omega_n^j) n^{\alpha_k s + \ell - \alpha_1 + 1}} + R_3(j,n), \end{aligned}$$
(1.3)

where  $\zeta$  and K are as in Theorem 1.2 and

$$R_3(j,n) = O(1/n^{2\zeta+1}) + O(\log^2 n/n^2)$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $j \in \mathcal{J}_n$ .

Figures 1 and 2 illustrate Theorem 1.3.



Figure 1. The picture shows a piece of  $\mathcal{R}(a)$  for the symbol  $a(t) = t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.3}(1-t/e^{2i})^{0.4}(1-t/e^{4i})^{0.5}$  (solid blue line) located far from zero. The black dots are sp  $T_{4096}(a)$  calculated by *Matlab*. The red pluses, blue crosses, and green stars are the approximations obtained by using 2, 3, and 4 terms of (1.3), respectively.



Figure 2. The black dots and the green stars, are the spectrum of  $T_{128}(a)$  calculated with *Matlab* and formula (1.3) with 4 terms, respectively.

# 2 Toeplitz determinant

Consider the function  $b^{(\lambda)}(t) := 1/(h(t) - \lambda t)$  where  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $a(t) = t^{-1}h(t)$  be a symbol with property 1. Then, for each  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a)$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$D_n(a-\lambda) = (-1)^n h_0^{n+1} b_n^{(\lambda)}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $b_n^{(\lambda)}$  stands for the nth Fourier coefficient of  $b^{(\lambda)}$  and  $h_0$  for the zeroth Fourier coefficient of h.

*Proof.* The Baxter-Schmidt formula, which can for example be found in [5, p. 37], says that if  $n, r \ge 1$  are integers and *f* is a function which is analytic and non-zero in some neighborhood of the origin, then

$$f_0^{-r}D_n(t^{-r}f) = (-1)^{rn}[1/f]_0^{-n}D_r(t^{-n}/f),$$

where  $[]_n$  denotes the *n*th Fourier coefficient. Because of property 1, the function  $f(t) := h(t) - \lambda t$  satisfies the hypothesis of the Baxter-Schmidt formula. Finally, taking r = 1 we easily obtain the lemma.

With the aid of expression (2.1) we will calculate the Toeplitz determinant  $D_n(a - \lambda)$  as a Fourier integral. As in the one singularity case [3], this is our starting point to find an asymptotic expansion for the eigenvalues of  $T_n(a)$ . The major contributions to this integral comes from  $\lambda$  when  $\lambda$  is close to  $\mathcal{R}(a)$  and from the singularity points  $t_k$ . We analyze them in separate sections.

# **3** Contribution of $\lambda$ to the asymptotic behavior of $D_n$

Recall that

$$b_n^{(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} b^{(\lambda)} (e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$$

is the *n*th Fourier coefficient of the function  $b^{(\lambda)}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $a(t) = t^{-1}h(t)$  be a symbol satisfying properties 1, 3, and 4. Let  $W_0$  be a small open neighborhood of zero in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, for each  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a) \setminus W_0$  sufficiently close to  $\mathcal{R}(a)$ , there is a unique point  $t_{\lambda}$  in  $W \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  such that  $a(t_{\lambda}) = \lambda$ . Moreover, the point  $t_{\lambda}$  is a simple pole for  $b^{(\lambda)}$ .

*Proof.* Enumerate the collection  $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^K$  in the following way: for  $1 \le k < K$  let  $T_k$  be such that  $t_k$  and  $t_{k+1}$  are its extreme points, and let  $T_K$  be such that  $t_K$  and  $t_1 = 1$  are its extreme points. The symbol *a* maps each arch  $T_k$  to a different petal  $P_k := a(T_k)$  in  $\mathcal{R}(a)$ ; see Figure 3. As *h* belongs to  $H^\infty$  and can be analytically extended to *W*, the map *h* can be thought of as a bounded and analytic function in  $\mathbb{D} \cup W$ . Since  $h_0 = h(0) \ne 0$ , the function  $z^{-1}h(z) = a(z)$  is unbounded in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Thus, the map *a* must send  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$  to the exterior of  $\mathcal{R}(a)$ , that is, the unbounded connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}(a)$ , and it must accordingly send  $W \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  to  $\mathcal{D}(a) \cap a(W)$ .

By property 4,  $a'(t) \neq 0$  for every  $t \in T_k$ . Take  $S = \{t \in T_k : a(t) \notin W_0\}$ . As a' is also analytic in W, for each  $t \in S$  there is an open neighborhood  $V_t^{(k)} \subset W$  of t such that  $a'(t) \neq 0$  for every  $t \in V_t^{(k)}$ . Then, there is an open neighborhood  $U_t^{(k)} \subset V_t^{(k)}$  of t such that a is a conformal map (and hence bijective) from  $U_t^{(k)}$  to  $a(U_t^{(k)})$ . As each S is compact, we can take a finite sub-cover from  $\{U_t^{(k)}\}_{t\in S}$ , say  $U^{(k)} := \bigcup_{s=1}^{N_k} U_{t_s}^{(k)}$ . It follows that a is a conformal map (and hence bijective) from  $U^{(k)} \supset S^{(k)}$  to  $a(U^{(k)}) \supset a(S^{(k)})$ .

Let  $U := \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} U^{(k)}$ . The lemma holds for every  $\lambda \in a(U) \cap (\mathcal{D}(a) \setminus W_0)$ . Finally, since  $a'(t_{\lambda}) \neq 0$ , the point  $t_{\lambda}$  must be a simple pole of  $b^{(\lambda)}$ .



Figure 3. A typical range for the map *a* with 3 singularities over the unit circle.

Lemma 3.1 allows us to write

$$b^{(\lambda)}(z) = \frac{1}{t_{\lambda}a'(t_{\lambda})(z-t_{\lambda})} + \hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(z), \qquad (3.1)$$

where  $\hat{b}^{(\lambda)}$  is analytic with respect to z in W and uniformly bounded with respect to  $\lambda$  in  $a(W) \setminus W_0$ . Taking Fourier coefficients and writing  $\hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(\theta)$  instead of  $\hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(e^{i\theta})$ , we easily obtain

$$b_n^{(\lambda)} = \frac{-1}{t_{\lambda}^{n+2}a'(t_{\lambda})} + I,$$
(3.2)

where

$$I := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta.$$

The first term in (3.2) times  $(-1)^n h_0^{n+1}$  is the contribution of  $t_{\lambda}$  to the asymptotic expansion of  $D_n(a-\lambda)$ ; see (2.1). The function  $\hat{b}^{(\lambda)}$  has singularities at each  $\theta_k$ , and we use this fact to expand *I* in the following Section.

# **4** Contribution of $t_k$ to the asymptotic behavior of $D_n$

Ì

We start this Section by constructing a particular partition of the unity. Let  $\delta$  be a small number satisfying  $0 < \delta < \min_{j \neq k} \{|\theta_j - \theta_k|\}/2$  and take a function  $\Phi_0 \in C^{\infty}[-\pi, \pi]$  which is supported in  $(-\delta/2, \delta/2)$  and is identically 1 in  $(-\delta/4, \delta/4)$ . We may also suppose that  $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_0) = [0, 1]$ .

For each  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , let  $\Phi_x(\theta) := \Phi_0(\theta - x)$ . The collection

$$\mathcal{P} := \{ \Phi_{\theta_1}, \dots, \Phi_{\theta_K}, \Phi^* \},\$$

with  $\Phi^*(\theta) := 1 - \sum_{k=1}^K \Phi_{\theta_k}(\theta)$ , is a partition of the unity for the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . By pasting segments  $[-\pi, \pi]$  in both directions, we continue this partition  $\mathcal{P}$  to the entire real line  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We will use the following well known asymptotic results, which are, for example, in [11, p. 47] and [13, p. 97], respectively.

**Theorem 4.1.** If  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $v \in C^{K}[\alpha, \beta]$ , and  $v^{(s)}(\alpha) = v^{(s)}(\beta) = 0$  for  $0 \le s \le K$ , then

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{(in)^K} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v^{(K)}(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = o(1/n^K) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ ,  $v \in C^{\infty}[0, \delta]$ , and  $v^{(s)}(\delta) = 0$  for all  $s \ge 0$ . Then, for every  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\int_0^{\delta} \theta^{\beta-1} v(\theta) e^{in\theta} d\theta = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \frac{v^{(k)}(0)\Gamma(\beta+k)i^{\beta+k}}{k!n^{\beta+k}} + R_{K,v}(n)$$

where  $|R_{K,\nu}(n)| \leq C_{K,\nu}/n^{\beta+K}$ , the branch of the power  $\beta + k$  is the one corresponding to the argument in  $(-\pi,\pi]$ , and  $\Gamma(z)$  is Euler's Gamma function. If  $\nu$  depends on a parameter and the  $L^{\infty}$  norms of the derivatives  $\nu^{(s)}$  for  $0 \leq s \leq K$  have bounds that do not depend on the parameter, then one can take a single constant  $C_{K,\nu}$  for all parameters.

**Lemma 4.3.** For every sufficiently small positive  $\delta$ , we have

$$I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{\theta_k - \delta}^{\theta_k + \delta} \Phi_{\theta_k}(\theta) b^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta + Q_1(\lambda, n),$$
(4.1)

where  $Q_1(\lambda, n) = o(1/n^{\infty})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\lambda$  in  $a(W) \setminus W_0$ .

*Proof.* Using the partition  $\mathcal{P}$ , we may write  $I = I_1 + \cdots + I_K + I^*$  where

$$I_k := rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{ heta_k - \delta}^{ heta_k + \delta} \Phi_{ heta_k}( heta) \hat{b}^{(\lambda)}( heta) e^{-in heta} d heta$$

for  $k = 1, \ldots, K$  and

$$I^* := rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi^*(\theta) \hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta.$$

Taking  $v(\theta) := \Phi^*(\theta) \hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(\theta)$ ,  $\alpha := \theta_1$ , and  $\beta := 2\pi + \theta_1$  in Theorem 4.1 we easily get  $I^* = o(1/n^{\infty})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ .

Using (3.1), we arrive at  $I_k = I_{k1} - I_{k2}$  where

$$I_{k1} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\theta_k - \delta}^{\theta_k + \delta} \Phi_{\theta_k}(\theta) b^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$$
(4.2)

and

$$I_{k2} \coloneqq rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{ heta_k - \delta}^{ heta_k + \delta} rac{\Phi_{ heta_k}( heta) e^{-in heta}}{t_\lambda a'(t_\lambda) (e^{i heta} - t_\lambda)} d heta.$$

Finally, letting  $v(\theta) := \Phi_{\theta_k}(\theta) / (t_\lambda a'(t_\lambda)(e^{i\theta} - t_\lambda))$ ,  $\alpha := \theta_k - \delta$ , and  $\beta := \theta_k + \delta$  in Theorem 4.1 we easily obtain  $I_{k2} = o(1/n^{\infty})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\lambda$  in  $a(W) \setminus W_0$ .

Expression (4.1) says that the value of *I* basically depends on the integrand  $b^{(\lambda)}(\theta)e^{-in\theta}$  at the singularity arguments  $\theta_k$ . As we can take  $\delta$  as small as we desire, we may assume that in every integral of the sum of (4.1) the variable  $\theta$  is arbitrarily close to  $\theta_k$ . Keeping this idea in mind, we will develop an asymptotic expansion for  $b^{(\lambda)}$ . For future reference, we rewrite (4.1) as

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^{K} I_{k1} + Q_1(\lambda, n),$$
(4.3)

where  $Q_1(\lambda, n) = o(1/n^{\infty})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ . Writing  $h(\theta)$  instead of  $h(e^{i\theta})$ , we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** For every  $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$  and every sufficiently small positive  $\delta$ ,

$$I_{k1} = \frac{-1}{2\pi\lambda} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^s} \int_{\theta_k - \delta}^{\theta_k + \delta} \frac{\Phi_{\theta_k}(\theta) h^s(\theta) e^{-in\theta}}{e^{i\theta(s+1)}} d\theta.$$
(4.4)

*Proof.* Note that

$$b^{(\lambda)}(\theta) = rac{1}{h(\theta) - \lambda e^{i\theta}} = rac{-1}{\lambda e^{i\theta}} \cdot rac{1}{1 - \lambda^{-1}e^{-i\theta}h(\theta)}$$

Let  $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ . As  $|h(\theta)| \to 0$  when  $|\theta - \theta_k| \to 0$ , there is a small positive constant  $\delta_k$  such that  $|\lambda^{-1}e^{-i\theta}h(\theta)| < 1$  for every  $|\theta - \theta_k| < \delta_k$ . Let  $\delta = \min_{1 \le k \le K} \{\delta_k\}$ . Thus,

$$b^{(\lambda)}(\theta) = \frac{-1}{\lambda e^{i\theta}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \lambda^{-1} e^{-i\theta} h(\theta) \right)^s = -\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^s(\theta)}{\lambda^{s+1} e^{i\theta(s+1)}}$$
(4.5)

for every  $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$  and every  $|\theta - \theta_k| < \delta$ . Finally, inserting (4.5) in (4.2) finishes the proof.

We will use the notation

$$I_{k1s} := \frac{-1}{2\pi\lambda^{s+1}} \int_{\theta_k - \delta}^{\theta_k + \delta} \frac{\Phi_{\theta_k}(\theta) h^s(\theta) e^{-in\theta}}{e^{i\theta(s+1)}} d\theta.$$
(4.6)

Once more, taking  $v(\theta) := -\Phi_{\theta_k}(\theta)/(2\pi\lambda e^{i\theta})$ ,  $\alpha := \theta_k - \delta$ , and  $\beta := \theta_k + \delta$  in Theorem 4.1 we easily obtain  $I_{k1s}|_{s=0} = o(1/n^{\infty})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ . With the previous notation, we can rewrite (4.4) as

$$I_{k1} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} I_{k1s} + Q_2(k,\lambda,n),$$

where  $Q_2(k,\lambda,n) = o(1/n^{\infty})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ . Now we use Theorem 4.2 to express  $I_{k1s}$  asymptotically. We recall that  $h(t) = f(t) \prod_{k=1}^{K} (1 - t/t_k)^{\alpha_k}$ , where  $t_k = e^{i\theta_k}$ , the arguments  $\theta_k$  are all different, and the exponents  $\alpha_k$  are positive reals but not integers, with  $\alpha_1 = \min_{1 \le k \le K} \{\alpha_k\}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** Let f be a function with property 2 and  $\mu$  be any positive real number. Then, for  $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ ,

$$I_{k1} = \sum_{(\ell,s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{*}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{\lambda^{s+1} t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k}s+\ell+1}} + Q_{7}(k,\lambda,n),$$
(4.7)

where  $\mathcal{L}^*_{\mu}$  is the collection of all pairs  $(\ell, s)$  such that  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ , and  $\alpha_k s + \ell + 1 < \mu$ ;

$$A_{k,\ell,s} = \frac{\sin(\alpha_k \pi s) \Gamma(\alpha_k s + \ell + 1)}{i^\ell \pi t_k^{s+1} \ell!} \left[ \frac{f^s(t_k e^{i\theta}) g^{\alpha_k s}(\theta) \prod_{j \neq k} (1 - e^{i\theta} t_k/t_j)^{\alpha_j s}}{e^{i\theta(s+1)}} \right]_{\theta=0}^{\ell},$$

 $g(\theta) = (e^{i\theta} - 1)/(i\theta)$ , and  $Q_7(k, \lambda, n) = O(1/n^{\mu})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ .

*Proof.* Changing  $\theta$  to  $\theta + \theta_k$  in (4.6), we obtain

$$I_{k1s} = \frac{-1}{2\pi\lambda^{s+1}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{\Phi_0(\theta) f^s(t_k e^{i\theta}) \left(1 - e^{i\theta}\right)^{\alpha_k s} \prod_{j \neq k} \left(1 - e^{i\theta} t_k/t_j\right)^{\alpha_j s} e^{-in\theta}}{e^{i\theta(s+1)} t_k^{n+s+1}} d\theta$$

It is easy to verify that  $1 - e^{i\theta} = -i\theta g(\theta)$ , where  $g(\theta) := 1 + i\theta/2 + (i\theta)^2/6 + O(\theta^3)$  as  $\theta \to 0$ . Thus, we can write  $I_{k1s} = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \theta^{\alpha_k s} v(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$ , where

$$\nu(\theta) \coloneqq \frac{-(-i)^{\alpha_k s} \Phi_0(\theta) f^s(t_k e^{i\theta}) g^{\alpha_k s}(\theta) \prod_{j \neq k} \left(1 - e^{i\theta} t_k / t_j\right)^{\alpha_j s}}{2\pi \lambda^{s+1} e^{i\theta(s+1)} t_k^{n+s+1}},$$

the branch of the power  $\alpha_k s$  being the one corresponding to the argument in  $(-\pi,\pi]$ . Note that for every sufficiently small positive  $\delta$  we have  $g \in C^{\infty}[-\delta,\delta]$  and g(0) = 1. Clearly,

$$I_{k1s} = \int_{-\delta}^{0} \theta^{\alpha_k s} v(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta + \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta^{\alpha_k s} v(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$$
  
= 
$$\int_{0}^{\delta} (-\theta)^{\alpha_k s} v(-\theta) e^{in\theta} d\theta + \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta^{\alpha_k s} v(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = I_{k1s1} + I_{k1s2}, \qquad (4.8)$$

where

$$I_{k1s1} := (-1)^{\alpha_k s} \int_0^\delta \theta^{\alpha_k s} v(-\theta) e^{in\theta} d\theta, \quad I_{k1s2} := \int_0^\delta \theta^{\alpha_k s} v(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta.$$

Note that  $v(\pm \theta) \in C^{\infty}[0, \delta]$  and  $v^{(s)}(\pm \delta) = 0$  for all  $s \ge 0$  because  $\Phi_0 \equiv 0$  in a small neighborhood of  $\pm \delta$ . Applying Theorem 4.2 to  $I_{k1s1}$  and  $\overline{I_{k1s2}}$ , we obtain

$$I_{k1s1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{(-1)^{\alpha_k s + \ell} \nu^{(\ell)}(0) \Gamma(\alpha_k s + \ell + 1) i^{\alpha_k s + \ell + 1}}{n^{\alpha_k s + \ell + 1} \ell!} + Q_3(s, k, L, \lambda, n),$$

$$I_{k1s2} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{\nu^{(\ell)}(0) \Gamma(\alpha_k s + \ell + 1) i^{-\alpha_k s - \ell - 1}}{n^{\alpha_k s + \ell + 1} \ell!} + Q_4(s, k, L, \lambda, n),$$
(4.9)

for every  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $Q_3$  and  $Q_4$  are  $O(1/n^{\alpha_k s + L + 1})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ . Substitution of (4.9) in (4.8) yields

$$\begin{split} I_{k1s} = & \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{\nu^{(\ell)}(0)\Gamma(\alpha_k s + \ell + 1)}{n^{\alpha_k s + \ell + 1}\ell!} \left(i^{-\alpha_k s - \ell - 1} + (-1)^{\alpha_k s + \ell}i^{\alpha_k s + \ell + 1}\right) \\ & + Q_5(s, k, L, \lambda, n), \end{split}$$

for every  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $Q_5(s,k,L,\lambda,n) = O(1/n^{\alpha_k s + L + 1})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ . At this point, one could be tempted to write

$$I_{k1} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{\lambda^{s+1} t_k^n n^{\alpha_k s + \ell + 1}} + Q_5(s,k,L,\lambda,n) \right) + Q_2(k,\lambda,n) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$
(4.10)

where  $A_{k,\ell,s}$  equals

$$\frac{\sin(\alpha_k \pi s)\Gamma(\alpha_k s + \ell + 1)}{i^\ell \pi t_k^{s+1}\ell!} \left[ \frac{\Phi_0(\theta) f^s(t_k e^{i\theta}) g^{\alpha_k s}(\theta) \prod_{j \neq k} (1 - e^{i\theta} t_k/t_j)^{\alpha_j s}}{e^{i\theta(s+1)}} \right]_{\theta=0}^{(\ell)}$$

Note that we can drop the factor  $\Phi_0(\theta)$  above because  $\Phi_0 \equiv 1$  in a neighborhood of  $\theta = 0$ . However, representation (4.10) does not permit us to get an appropriate bound for the remainder of  $I_{k1}$ . We therefore tackle the problem as follows. First notice that

$$h(\theta + \theta_k) = f(\theta + \theta_k) \prod_{j=1}^{K} (1 - e^{i\theta} t_k / t_j)^{\alpha_j}$$
  
=  $(1 - e^{i\theta})^{\alpha_k} f(\theta + \theta_k) \prod_{j \neq k} (1 - e^{i\theta} t_k / t_j)^{\alpha_j} = \mathcal{O}(\theta^{\alpha_k}) \text{ as } \theta \to 0.$ 

...

Thus, from (4.5) we obtain

$$b^{(\lambda)}(\theta + \theta_k) = -\sum_{s=0}^{S-1} \frac{h^s(\theta + \theta_k)}{\lambda^{s+1} e^{i(\theta + \theta_k)(s+1)}} + f_{k,S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta)$$
(4.11)

for every  $S \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ . Here  $f_{k,S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) = O(\theta^{\alpha_k S})$  as  $\theta \to 0$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ . Inserting (4.11) in (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain

$$I_{k1} = \sum_{s=1}^{S-1} I_{k1s} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \Phi_0(\theta) f_{k,S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta + Q_2(k,\lambda,n)$$
  
=  $\sum_{s=1}^{S-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{\lambda^{s+1} t_k^n n^{\alpha_k s + \ell + 1}} + \sum_{s=1}^{S-1} Q_5(s,k,L,\lambda,n)$   
+  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \Phi_0(\theta) f_{k,S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta + Q_2(k,\lambda,n)$  (4.12)

for every  $L, S \in \mathbb{N}$ . The function  $\Phi_0(\theta) f_{k,S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta)$  belongs to  $C^{[\alpha_k S]}[-\delta, \delta]$  and thus by Theorem 4.1, the integral on the right side of (4.12) is  $o(1/n^{[\alpha_k S]})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ .

Fix  $S \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[\alpha_1 S] > \mu$ . Then, the integral on the right side of (4.12) is  $o(1/n^{\mu})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$  for every  $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ .

Now fix  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha_1 + L + 1 > \mu$ . Thus,  $Q_5(s, k, L, \lambda, n) = O(1/n^{\mu})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$  for every  $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ . Therefore, the finite sum  $\sum_{s=1}^{S-1} Q_5(s, k, L, \lambda, n)$  is  $O(1/n^{\mu})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$  for every  $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ .

In summary,

$$I_{k1} = \sum_{s=1}^{S-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{\lambda^{s+1} t_k^n n^{\alpha_k s + \ell + 1}} + Q_6(k,\lambda,n),$$

where  $Q_6(S, k, L, \lambda, n) = O(1/n^{\mu})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$  for every  $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ . Finally, avoiding the unnecessary terms of the sum we finish the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Combine (2.1), (3.2), (4.3), and (4.7).

### **5** Individual eigenvalues

In order to find the eigenvalues of the matrices  $T_n(a)$ , we need to solve the equations  $D_n(a - \lambda) = 0$ . We start this Section by locating the zeros of  $D_n(a - \lambda)$ .

Let  $W_0$  be a small open neighborhood of zero in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\omega_n := \exp(-2\pi i/n)$ . Let

$$\mathcal{J}_n \coloneqq \left\{ j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \colon a(\boldsymbol{\omega}_n^j) \notin W_0 \right\}.$$
(5.1)

Recall that  $\lambda = a(t_{\lambda})$ . Take an integer  $j \in \mathcal{I}_n$ . Using the representations

$$\frac{1}{t_{\lambda}^2 a'(t_{\lambda})} = \frac{1}{\omega_n^{2j} a'(\omega_n^j)} + O(|t_{\lambda} - \omega_n^j|), \quad \frac{1}{a^2(t_{\lambda})} = \frac{1}{a^2(\omega_n^j)} + O(|t_{\lambda} - \omega_n^j|),$$

where  $t_{\lambda}$  belongs to a small neighborhood of  $\omega_n^j$ , we see that the determinant  $D_n(a-\lambda)$  in (1.1) equals  $(-h_0)^{n+1}$  times

$$\mathcal{T}_{1} - \mathcal{T}_{2} + O\left(\left|\frac{t_{\lambda} - \omega_{n}^{j}}{t_{\lambda}^{n}}\right|\right) + O\left(\frac{\left|t_{\lambda} - \omega_{n}^{j}\right|}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\right) + R_{1}(\lambda, n),$$
(5.2)

where  $t_{\lambda}$  belongs to a small neighborhood of  $\omega_n^j$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{1} := \frac{1}{t_{\lambda}^{n} \omega_{n}^{2j} a'(\omega_{n}^{j})}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{2} := \sum_{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{a^{s+1}(\omega_{n}^{j}) t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k}s+\ell+1}} = \frac{A_{1,0,1} \left(1 + Q_{8}(\lambda,n)\right)}{a^{2}(\omega_{n}^{j}) n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}$$

with  $Q_8(\lambda, n) = O(1/n^{\zeta})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ . Here  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$ ,  $A_{k,\ell,s}$ , and  $\zeta$  are as in Theorem 1.1. Expression (5.2) makes sense only when  $t_{\lambda}$  is sufficiently close to  $\omega_n^j$  and thus it is necessary to know whether there is a zero of  $D_n(a - \lambda)$  close to  $\omega_n^j$ . Let  $t_{\lambda} := \rho e^{i\phi}$ . It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2 = 0$  if and only if

$$\rho = \left(\frac{|a(\omega_n^j)|^2 |1 + Q_9(n)| n^{\alpha_1 + 1}}{|A_{1,0,1}a'(\omega_n^j)|}\right)^{1/n}$$
(5.3)

and

$$\phi = \phi_s = \frac{1}{n} \arg\left(\frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)(1+Q_9(n))}{A_{1,0,1}\omega_n^{2j}a'(\omega_n^j)}\right) - \frac{2\pi s}{n}$$

where  $s \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$  and  $Q_9(\lambda, n) = O(1/n^{\zeta})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ . When *n* tends to infinity, (5.3) shows that  $\rho$  remains greater than 1 and  $\rho \to 1$ . The function  $\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2$  has *n* zeros with respect to  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a)$  given by

$$a(\rho e^{i\phi_0}), \quad \ldots, \quad a(\rho e^{i\phi_{n-1}}).$$

As Lemma 3.1 establishes a 1-1 correspondence between  $\lambda$  and  $t_{\lambda}$ , the function  $D_n(a - \lambda)$  is analytic with respect to  $\lambda \in a(W) \setminus W_0$ , that is, analytic with respect to  $t_{\lambda} \in W \setminus a^{-1}(W_0)$ . We can therefore suppose that  $\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2$  has *n* zeros with respect to  $t_{\lambda}$  in the exterior of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  given by

$$z_0 := \rho e^{i\phi_0}, \quad \dots, \quad z_{n-1} := \rho e^{i\phi_{n-1}}$$

We take the function "arg" in the interval  $(-\pi,\pi]$ . Thus,  $z_j = e^{i\phi_j}$  is the nearest zero to  $\omega_n^j$ . Consider the open neighborhood  $E_j$  of  $z_j$  sketched in Figure 4.

The boundary of  $E_j$  is  $\Gamma := \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 \cup \Gamma_4$ . We have chosen radial segments  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_4$  so that their length is  $1/n^{\varepsilon}$  with  $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{1, \alpha_1, \gamma - \alpha_1\})$  and  $\gamma = \min\{\alpha_j : \alpha_j > \alpha_1\}$  and all the points in  $\Gamma_2$  have the common argument  $(\phi_{j+1} + \phi_j)/2$ , while all the points in  $\Gamma_4$  have the common argument  $(\phi_{j-1} + \phi_j)/2$ . As we can see in Figure 4, these points run from the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  to  $(1 + 1/n^{\varepsilon})\mathbb{T}$ . Note also that  $\Gamma_1 \subset (1 + 1/n^{\varepsilon})\mathbb{T}$  and  $\Gamma_3 \in \mathbb{T}$ . Recall  $\mathcal{I}_n$  from (5.1). We put diam $(E_j) := \sup\{|z_1 - z_2| : z_1, z_2 \in E_j\}$ .



Figure 4. The neighborhood  $E_i$  of  $z_i$  in the complex plane.

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose  $a(t) = t^{-1}h(t)$  is a symbol with properties 1 to 4. Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{1, \alpha_1, \gamma - \alpha_1\})$  be a constant. Then, there is a family of sets  $\{E_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}_n}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

- 1.  $\{E_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{I}_n}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint open sets,
- 2. diam $(E_i) \leq 2/n^{\varepsilon}$ ,
- *3.*  $\omega_n^j \in \partial E_j$ ,
- 4.  $D_n(a-a(t_{\lambda})) = D_n(a-\lambda)$  has exactly one zero in each  $E_i$ .

*Proof.* Assertions 1, 2, and 3 can be deduced from the above construction. We prove assertion 4 by studying the behavior of  $|D_n(a - \lambda)|$  in dependence on  $t_{\lambda} \in \Gamma$ . For  $t_{\lambda} \in \Gamma_1$  we have, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{T}_{1}|_{\Gamma_{1}} &= \frac{1}{|a'(\omega_{n}^{j})|} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^{\varepsilon}}\right)^{-n} = \frac{\exp(-n^{1-\varepsilon})}{|a'(\omega_{n}^{j})|} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\exp(-n^{1-\varepsilon})}{n^{2\varepsilon-1}}\right), \\ &\qquad |\mathcal{T}_{2}|_{\Gamma_{1}} = \frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}} \left|\frac{A_{1,0,1}\left(1 + Q_{8}(n)\right)}{a^{2}(\omega_{n}^{j})}\right|, \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\frac{t_{\lambda} - \omega_{n}^{j}}{t_{\lambda}^{n}}\right|\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\exp(-n^{1-\varepsilon})}{n^{\varepsilon}}\right), \quad \left|\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|t_{\lambda} - \omega_{n}^{j}|}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon+1}}\right), \end{split}$$

and  $|R_1(n,t_{\lambda})|_{\Gamma_1} = O(1/n^{\mu})$ . When *n* goes to infinity, the absolute value of  $\mathcal{T}_2$  decreases at polynomial speed over  $\Gamma_1$ , while the absolute values of the remaining terms in (5.2) are smaller over  $\Gamma_1$ . Thus,

$$\left|\frac{D_n(a-\lambda)}{h_0^{n+1}}\right|_{\Gamma_1} = \frac{1}{n^{\alpha_1+1}} \left|\frac{A_{1,0,1}}{a^2(\omega_n^j)}\right| + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_1+\varepsilon+1}}\right) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

For  $t_{\lambda} \in \Gamma_3$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{T}_1|_{\Gamma_3} &= \frac{1}{|a'(\omega_n^j)|}, \quad |\mathcal{T}_2|_{\Gamma_3} = \frac{1}{n^{\alpha_1+1}} \left| \frac{A_{1,0,1}\left(1+Q_8(n)\right)}{a^2(\omega_n^j)} \right|, \\ \left| \mathcal{O}\left( \left| \frac{t_\lambda - \omega_n^j}{t_\lambda^n} \right| \right) \right|_{\Gamma_3} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \left| \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{|t_\lambda - \omega_n^j|}{n^{\alpha_1+1}} \right) \right|_{\Gamma_3} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_1+2}}\right), \end{split}$$

and  $|R_1(n,t_\lambda)|_{\Gamma_3} = O(1/n^{\mu})$ . When *n* goes to infinity, the modulus of  $\mathcal{T}_1$  remains constant over  $\Gamma_3$ , while the moduli of the remaining terms in (5.2) are smaller there. Consequently,

$$\left|\frac{D_n(a-\lambda)}{h_0^{n+1}}\right|_{\Gamma_3} = \frac{1}{|a'(\omega_n^j)|} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

As for the radial segments  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_4$ , we start by showing that  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $-\mathcal{T}_2$  have the same argument there. Since  $z_j$  is a zero of  $\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2$ , we deduce that

$$\arg\left(\frac{1}{z_j^n \omega_n^{2j} a'(\omega_n^j)}\right) = \arg\left(\frac{A_{1,0,1}(1+Q_8(n))}{a^2(\omega_n^j)n^{\alpha_1+1}}\right)$$

as  $n \to \infty$  and thus

$$-n\phi_j + \arg\left(\frac{1}{\omega_n^{2j}a'(\omega_n^j)}\right) = \arg\left(\frac{A_{1,0,1}(1+Q_8(n))}{a^2(\omega_n^j)}\right).$$
(5.4)

For  $t_{\lambda} \in \Gamma_2$  we have

$$\arg(\mathcal{T}_{1}) = \arg\left(\frac{1}{t_{\lambda}^{n}\omega_{n}^{2j}a'(\omega_{n}^{j})}\right) = -\frac{n}{2}(\phi_{j-1} + \phi_{j}) + \arg\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n}^{2j}a'(\omega_{n}^{j})}\right)$$
$$= \frac{n}{2}(\phi_{j} - \phi_{j-1}) + \arg\left(\frac{A_{1,0,1}(1 + Q_{8}(n))}{a^{2}(\omega_{n}^{j})}\right)$$
$$= \pi + \arg\left(\frac{A_{1,0,1}(1 + Q_{8}(n))}{a^{2}(\omega_{n}^{j})}\right) = \arg(-\mathcal{T}_{2}).$$

Here the third line is due to (5.4). In addition, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\left| \mathcal{O}\left( \left| \frac{t_{\lambda} - \omega_n^j}{t_{\lambda}^n} \right| \right) \right|_{\Gamma_2} = \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{1}{n^{\varepsilon} |t_{\lambda}|^n} \right), \quad \left| \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{|t_{\lambda} - \omega_n^j|}{n^{\alpha_1 + 1}} \right) \right|_{\Gamma_2} = \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{1}{n^{\alpha_1 + \varepsilon + 1}} \right),$$

and  $|R_1(n,t_\lambda)|_{\Gamma_2} = O(1/n^{\mu})$ . Furthermore,

$$\left|\frac{D_n(a-\lambda)}{h_0^{n+1}}\right|_{\Gamma_2} = \frac{1}{|t_\lambda^n a'(\omega_n^j)|} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\varepsilon}|t_\lambda|^n}\right) + \frac{1}{n^{\alpha_1+1}} \left|\frac{A_{1,0,1}}{a^2(\omega_n^j)}\right| + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_1+\varepsilon+1}}\right)$$

over  $\Gamma_2$  as  $n \to \infty$ . The situation is similar for the segment  $\Gamma_4$ .



Figure 5. The absolute value of  $D_n(a-\lambda)/h_0^{n+1}$  over  $E_j$ .

Figure 5 resumes our analysis of  $|D_n(a-\lambda)/h_0^{n+1}|$ . From the previous study of  $|D_n(a-\lambda)|$  over  $\Gamma$  we infer that for every sufficiently large *n* we have

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2|_{\Gamma} \geq \frac{1}{2n^{\alpha_1 + 1}} \left| \frac{A_{1,0,1}}{a^2(\omega_n^j)} \right|$$

and

$$\left|O\left(\left|\frac{t_{\lambda}-\omega_n^j}{t_{\lambda}^n}\right|\right)+O\left(\frac{|t_{\lambda}-\omega_n^j|}{n^{\alpha_1+1}}\right)+R_1(n,t_{\lambda})\right|_{\Gamma}\leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_1+\varepsilon+1}}\right).$$

Hence, by Rouché's theorem,  $D_n(a-\lambda)/(-h_0)^{n+1}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2$  have the same number of zeros in  $E_j$ , that is, a unique zero.

As a consequence of Theorem 5.1, we can iterate the variable  $t_{\lambda}$  in the equation  $D_n(a - \lambda) = 0$ , where  $D_n(a - \lambda)$  is given by (1.1). In this fashion we find the unique eigenvalue of  $T_n(a)$  which is located close to  $a(\omega_n^j)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* The equation  $D_n(a - \lambda) = 0$  with  $D_n(a - \lambda)$  given by (1.1) is equivalent to the equation

$$t_{\lambda}^{-n} = \frac{A_{1,0,1}t_{\lambda}^{2}a'(t_{\lambda})}{a^{2}(t_{\lambda})n^{\alpha_{1}+1}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu} \\ (k,\ell,s) \neq (1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{a^{s-1}(t_{\lambda})t_{k}^{n}n^{\alpha_{k}s+\ell-\alpha_{1}}} + Q_{10}(n,t_{\lambda}) \right),$$
(5.5)

where  $Q_{10}(n,t_{\lambda}) = O(1/n^{\mu-\alpha_1-1})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $t_{\lambda} \in W \setminus a^{-1}(W_0)$ . Recall from Theorem 1.1 that  $\gamma = \min\{\alpha_j : \alpha_j > \alpha_1\}$  and  $\zeta = \min\{1, \alpha_1, \gamma - \alpha_1\}$ . As  $\mu$  is any real positive number, we can develop (5.5) with an arbitrary error bound, but to make our calculations reasonable and readable, we limit ourselves to  $\mu = 2\zeta + \alpha_1 + 1$ . Equation (5.5) is an implicit expression for  $t_{\lambda}$ . We manipulate it to obtain a few asymptotic terms for  $t_{\lambda}$ . Remember that  $\lambda$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(a) \setminus W_0$ ; see Figure 3. We can choose W so thin that  $\lambda = a(t_{\lambda})$ ,  $a'(t_{\lambda})$ , and  $t_{\lambda}$  are bounded and not too close to zero. After taking the *n*th root for the main branch specified by the argument in  $(-\pi,\pi]$  and expanding in (5.5),

$$t_{\lambda_{j,n}} = \omega_n^j n^{(\alpha_1+1)/n} \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{[1+2\zeta]} \log^m \left( \frac{a^2(t_{\lambda_{j,n}})}{A_{1,0,1} t_{\lambda_{j,n}}^2 a'(t_{\lambda_{j,n}})} \right) \frac{1}{m! n^m} + Q_{11}(j,n) \right) \\ \times \left( 1 - \frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{L}_\mu \\ (k,\ell,s) \neq (1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{a^{s-1}(t_{\lambda_{j,n}}) t_k^m n^{\alpha_k s + \ell - \alpha_1 + 1}} + Q_{12}(j,n) \right),$$
(5.6)

where  $Q_{11}$  and  $Q_{12}$  are  $O(1/n^{2\zeta+1})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $j \in \mathcal{J}_n$ . After multiplying in (5.6) we obtain

$$t_{\lambda_{j,n}} = \omega_n^j n^{(\alpha_1+1)/n} \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{[1+2\zeta]} \log^m \left( \frac{a^2(t_{\lambda_{j,n}})}{A_{1,0,1} t_{\lambda_{j,n}}^2 a'(t_{\lambda_{j,n}})} \right) \frac{1}{m! n^m} - \frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{L}_\mu \\ (k,\ell,s) \neq (1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{a^{s-1}(t_{\lambda_{j,n}}) t_k^n n^{\alpha_k s + \ell - \alpha_1 + 1}} + Q_{13}(j,n) \right),$$
(5.7)

where  $Q_{13}(n,t_{\lambda}) = O(1/n^{2\zeta+1})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $t_{\lambda} \in W \setminus a^{-1}(W_0)$ . Note that, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$n^{(\alpha_1+1)/n} = \exp\left((\alpha_1+1)\frac{\log n}{n}\right) = 1 + (\alpha_1+1)\frac{\log n}{n} + O\left(\frac{\log^2 n}{n^2}\right).$$
(5.8)

Thus, our first approximation for  $t_{\lambda_{j,n}}$  is

$$t_{\lambda_{j,n}} = \omega_n^j + Q_{14}(j,n),$$

where  $Q_{14}(j,n) = O(\log n/n)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $j \in \mathcal{J}_n$ . Replacing  $t_{\lambda_{j,n}}$  by this approximation in (5.7) we obtain

$$t_{\lambda_{j,n}} = \omega_n^j n^{(\alpha_1+1)/n} \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{[1+2\zeta]} \log^m \left( \frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_n^{2j} a'(\omega_n^j)} \right) \frac{1}{m! n^m} - \frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{L}_\mu \\ (k,\ell,s) \neq (1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{n^{\alpha_k s + \ell - \alpha_1 + 1}} + R_2(j,n) \right),$$

where  $R_2(j,n) = O(1/n^{2\zeta+1}) + O(\log n/n^2)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $j \in \mathcal{I}_n$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Inserting (5.8) in (1.2) we obtain

$$t_{\lambda_{j,n}} = \omega_n^j \left( 1 + (\alpha_1 + 1) \frac{\log n}{n} + \sum_{m=1}^{[1+2\zeta]} \log^m \left( \frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_n^{2j} a'(\omega_n^j)} \right) \frac{1}{m! n^m} - \frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{L}_\mu \\ (k,\ell,s) \neq (1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s}}{n^{\alpha_k s + \ell - \alpha_1 + 1}} + Q_{15}(j,n) \right),$$
(5.9)

where  $Q_{15}(j,n) = O(1/n^{2\zeta+1}) + O(\log^2 n/n^2)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $j \in \mathcal{I}_n$ .

Since the symbol *a* is analytic in a small neighborhood of each  $t_{\lambda_{j,n}}$ , we have  $\lambda_{j,n} = a(t_{\lambda_{j,n}}) = a(\omega_n^j + z) = a(\omega_n^j) + a'(\omega_n^j)z + O(|z|^2)$ . Thus, applying the symbol *a* to (5.9), we get

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{j,n} &= a(\omega_n^j) + (\alpha_1 + 1)\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j) \frac{\log n}{n} \\ &+ \omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j) \sum_{m=1}^{[1+2\zeta]} \log^m \left( \frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_n^{2j} a'(\omega_n^j)} \right) \frac{1}{m! n^m} \\ &- \frac{\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell,s) \in \mathcal{L}_\mu \\ (k,\ell,s) \neq (1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k,\ell,s} t_k^{-n}}{n} + \omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j) Q_{15}(j,n) + Q_{16}(j,n), \end{split}$$

where  $Q_{16}(j,n) = O(\log^2 n/n^2)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $j \in \mathcal{I}_n$ .



Figure 6. The absolute value of the difference between the eigenvalues of  $T_{256}(t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.6}(1+t)^{0.9})$  obtained with *Matlab* and formula (6.2). The red, blue, and green dots correspond to the approximations of (6.2) with 2, 3, and 4 terms, respectively.

# 6 Examples

In this Section we consider two particular situations for symbols with two and three singularities. In these situations we employ our formulas for  $t_{\lambda_{i,n}}$  and  $\lambda_{j,n}$ , and with the aid of *Matlab*, we calculate the corresponding numerical errors.

**Example 6.1.** Consider the symbol  $a(t) = t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.6}(1+t)^{0.9}$  with two singularities. In this case equations (1.2) and (1.3) become

$$t_{\lambda_{j,n}} = \omega_n^j n^{1.6/n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \log \left( \frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_n^{2j} a'(\omega_n^j)} \right) - \frac{(-1)^n A_{2,0,1}}{A_{1,0,1} n^{1.3}} + R_2(j,n) \right)$$
(6.1)

and

$$\lambda_{j,n} = a(\omega_n^j) + 1.6\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j) \frac{\log n}{n} + \frac{\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j)}{n} \log\left(\frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1}\omega_n^{2j}a'(\omega_n^j)}\right) - \frac{(-1)^n A_{2,0,1}\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1}n^{1.3}} + R_3(j,n),$$
(6.2)

respectively. Here

$$A_{1,0,1} = 2^{0.9} \sin(0.6\pi) \Gamma(1.6) / \pi, \quad A_{2,0,1} = 2^{0.6} \sin(0.9\pi) \Gamma(1.9) / \pi,$$

and  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$  are  $O(1/n^{1.6})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $j \in \mathcal{I}_n$ . Table 1 shows the data, see also Figures 2 and 6.

Example 6.2. Consider now the symbol

$$a(t) = t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.4}(1-t/e^{2i})^{0.6}(1-t/e^{4i})^{0.7}$$

n	256	512	1024	2048	4096
(6.1) with 1 term	$1.1 \times 10^{-2}$	$6.8 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.4 \times 10^{-4}$
(6.1) with 2 terms	$2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	$7.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.3 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.1 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-5}$
(6.1) with 3 terms	$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$7.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$6.6 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.9 \times 10^{-5}$
(6.2) with 2 term	$1.4 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.1 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.5 \times 10^{-4}$
(6.2) with 3 terms	$1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.6 \times 10^{-5}$
(6.2) with 4 terms	$1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$

Table 1. The table shows the maximum error obtained with formulas (6.1) and (6.2) for the eigenvalues of the matrices  $T_n(t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.6}(1+t)^{0.9})$  for different values of *n*. The data was obtained by comparison with the solutions given by *Matlab*, taking into account only the 90% best approximated eigenvalues.

with three singularities. In this case equations (1.2) and (1.3) read

$$t_{\lambda_{j,n}} = \omega_n^j n^{1.4/n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \log \left( \frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_n^{2j} a'(\omega_n^j)} \right) - \frac{A_{2,0,1} e^{-2ni}}{A_{1,0,1} n^{1.2}} - \frac{A_{3,0,1} e^{-4ni}}{A_{1,0,1} n^{1.3}} + R_2(j,n) \right)$$
(6.3)

and

$$\lambda_{j,n} = a(\omega_n^j) + 1.4\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j) \frac{\log n}{n} + \frac{\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j)}{n} \log\left(\frac{a^2(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1}\omega_n^{2j}a'(\omega_n^j)}\right) \\ - \frac{A_{2,0,1}e^{-2ni}\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1}n^{1.2}} - \frac{A_{3,0,1}e^{-4ni}\omega_n^j a'(\omega_n^j)}{A_{1,0,1}n^{1.3}} + R_3(j,n)$$
(6.4)

. . .

respectively. Here

$$\begin{split} A_{1,0,1} &= \sin(0.4\pi)\Gamma(1.4)(1-e^{-2i})^{0.6}(1-e^{-4i})^{0.7}/\pi, \\ A_{2,0,1} &= \sin(0.6\pi)\Gamma(1.6)(1-e^{2i})^{0.4}(1-e^{-2i})^{0.7}/(\pi e^{4i}), \\ A_{3,0,1} &= \sin(0.7\pi)\Gamma(1.7)(1-e^{4i})^{0.4}(1-e^{2i})^{0.6}/(\pi e^{8i}), \end{split}$$

and  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$  are  $O(1/n^{1.4})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $j \in \mathcal{I}_n$ . Table 2 shows the data, see also Figure 2.

n	256	512	1024	2048	4096
(6.3) with 1 term	$2.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.1 \times 10^{-2}$	$6.2 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.1 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.6 \times 10^{-3}$
(6.3) with 2 terms	$1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$9.5 \times 10^{-5}$
(6.3) with 4 terms	$7.8 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.3 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.8 \times 10^{-5}$
(6.4) with 2 terms	$2.6 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-2}$	$6.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.2 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.6 \times 10^{-3}$
(6.4) with 3 terms		$2.0 \times 10^{-3}$		$2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	
(6.4) with 5 terms	$5.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.0 \times 10^{-5}$

Table 2. The table shows the maximum error obtained with formulas (6.3) and (6.4) for the eigenvalues of the matrices  $T_n(t^{-1}(1-t/e^{2i})^{0.4}(1-t/e^{4i})^{0.6}(1-t/e^{6i})^{0.7})$  for different values of *n*. The data was obtained by comparison with the solutions given by *Matlab*, taking into account only the 90% best approximated eigenvalues.

Tables 1 and 2 reveal that the maximum error of (1.2) with one term is reduced by nearly n/80 times when considering the second term; see also Figure 6.

# References

- [1] E. Basor and K. E. Morrison, The Fisher-Hartwig conjecture and Toeplitz eigenvalues. *Linear Alg. Appl.* 202 (1993), pp 129-142.
- [2] J. M. Bogoya, *Toward the limiting set of a Toeplitz operator with non-rational symbol*. Master thesis, CINVESTAV del I.P.N., Ciudad de México, 2008.
- [3] J. M. Bogoya, A. Böttcher, and S. M. Grudsky, Asymptotics of individual eigenvalues of large Hessenberg Toeplitz matrices. Preprint, ISSN 1614-8835, 2010.
- [4] A. Böttcher and S. Grudsky, Asymptotic spectra of dense Toeplitz matrices are unstable. Numerical Algorithms 33 (2003), pp 105-112.
- [5] A. Böttcher and S. M. Grudsky, Spectral Properties of Banded Toeplitz Matrices. SIAM, Philadelphia 2005.
- [6] A. Böttcher, S. M. Grudsky, and E. A. Maksimenko, Inside the eigenvalues of certain Hermitian Toeplitz band matrices. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 233 (2010), pp 2245-2264.
- [7] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, Introduction to Large Truncated Toeplitz Matrices. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York 1999.
- [8] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, Analysis of Toeplitz Operators. 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 2006.
- [9] H. Dai, Z. Geary, and L. P. Kadanoff, Asymptotics of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Toeplitz matrices. J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp., P05012 (2009), 25 pp.
- [10] K. M. Day, Measures associated with Toeplitz matrices generated by the Laurent expansion of rational functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 209 (1975), pp 175-183.
- [11] A. Erdélyi, Asymptotic Expansions. Dover Publications, New York 1956.
- [12] U. Grenander and G. Szegő, Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles 1958.
- [13] M. V. Fedoryuk, The Saddle-Point Method. Nauka, Moscow, 1977 [Russian].
- [14] I. I. Hirschman, Jr., The spectra of certain Toeplitz matrices. Illinois J. Math. 11 (1967), pp 145-159.
- [15] M. Kac, W. L. Murdock, and G. Szegő, On the eigenvalues of certain Hermitian forms. J. Rational Mech. Anal. 2 (1953), pp 767-800.
- [16] S.-Y. Lee, H. Dai, and E. Bettelheim, Asymptotic eigenvalue distribution of large Toeplitz matrices. arXiv:0708.3124v1.
- [17] A. Yu. Novosel'tsev and I. B. Simonenko, Dependence of the asymptotics of extreme eigenvalues of truncated Toeplitz matrices on the rate of attaining the extremum by the symbol. *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 16 (2005), pp 713-718.
- [18] P. Schmidt and F. Spitzer, The Toeplitz matrices of an arbitrary Laurent polynomial. Math. Scand. 8 (1960), pp 15-38.
- [19] S. Serra Capizzano, On the extreme spectral properties of Toeplitz matrices generated by  $L^1$  functions with several minima/maxima. *BIT* **36** (1996), pp 135-142.
- [20] S. Serra Capizzano, On the extreme eigenvalues of Hermitian (block) Toeplitz matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 270 (1998), pp 109-129.
- [21] S. V. Parter, Extreme eigenvalues of Toeplitz forms and applications to elliptic difference equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 99 (1961), pp 153-192.
- [22] S. V. Parter, On the extreme eigenvalues of Toeplitz matrices. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1961), pp 263-276.
- [23] P. Tilli, Some results on complex Toeplitz eigenvalues. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 239 (1999), pp 390-401.
- [24] E. E. Tyrtyshnikov and N. L. Zamarashkin, Spectra of multilevel Toeplitz matrices: advanced theory via simple matrix relationships. *Linear Alg. Appl.* 270 (1998), pp 15-27.
- [25] H. Widom, On the eigenvalues of certain Hermitian operators. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), pp 491-522.
- [26] H. Widom, Eigenvalue distribution of nonselfadjoint Toeplitz matrices and the asymptotics of Toeplitz determinants in the case of nonvanishing index. Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl. 48 (1990), pp 387-421.
- [27] N. L. Zamarashkin and E. E. Tyrtyshnikov, Distribution of the eigenvalues and singular numbers of Toeplitz matrices under weakened requirements on the generating function. *Sb. Math.* **188** (1997), pp 1191-1201.
- [28] P. Zizler, R. A. Zuidwijk, K. F. Taylor, and S. Arimoto, A finer aspect of eigenvalue distribution of selfadjoint band Toeplitz matrices. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 24 (2002), pp 59-67.