# On the Trace-Class Property of Hankel Operators Arising in the Theory of the Kortewegde Vries Equation

S. M. Grudsky

CINVESTAV del I.P.N, México

### International Workshop on Operator Theory and its Applications (IWOTA 2019) Lisbon, Portugal July 22-26, 2019

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

On the Trace-Class Hankel Operators

Lisbon, Portugal 1/31

#### This work is based on joint work with

### ALEXEY RYBKIN

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

On the Trace-Class Hankel Operators

Lisbon, Portugal 2/31

3. 3

(日)

The trace-class property of Hankel Operators (and their derivatives with respect to the parameter) with strongly oscillating symbol is studied. The approach used is based on Peller's criterion for the trace-class property of Hankel operators and on the precise analysis of the arising tripe integral using the saddle-point method. Apparently, the obtained results are optimal. They are used to study the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Namely, a connection between the smoothness of the solution and the rate of decrease of the initial data at positive infinity is established.

## Hankel Operators

$$\mathbb{H}(\varphi_{\mathsf{X}}) := J P^{-} \varphi_{\mathsf{X}} P^{+} : H^{2}(\mathsf{\Pi}) \to H^{2}(\mathsf{\Pi}),$$

where  $H^2(\Pi)$  is Hardy space in the upper half-plane

$$\Pi := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \left| \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0 
ight\}$$
 ;

J - is the reflection operator defined by:

$$(Jf)(\lambda) = f(-\lambda), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

and  $P^{\pm}$  are the analytic projections defined by

$$\left(P^+f\right)(\xi)=rac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}rac{f( au)}{ au-\xi}\,d au,\quad \xi\in\overline{\Pi},$$

$$(P^{-}\varphi)(\xi) = (JP^{+}J\varphi)(\xi),$$

which act on the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

(1)

Note that is  $\xi$  belongs to the real axis  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the above integral is understood as the limit value almost everywhere over non-tangential directions in the upper half-plane  $\Pi$ .

## Symbol of Hankel Operator

$$\varphi_{x}(\lambda) = T(\lambda) \ G_{-}(\lambda) \ e^{i\Phi(\lambda,x)}.$$
(2)

Here

$$\Phi(\lambda, x) = 8t\lambda^3 + 2x\lambda, \ t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (3)

The function  $G_{-}(\lambda)$  can be represented as the Fourier integral over the half-axis:

$$G_{-}(\lambda) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2i\lambda s} g(s) \, ds, \qquad (4)$$

where  $g(s) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+s)^{\alpha})$ , is nonegative-valued almost everywhere, i.e.

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s)(1+s)^{\alpha} ds < \infty, \quad \alpha \ge 0.$$

$$T(\lambda) \in H^{\infty}(\Pi).$$
(5)

• □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶</p>

### Main Result

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  denote the set of all trace-class operators acting on the space  $H^2(\Pi)$ . Recall that a compact operator A belong to  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ , if the sequence of its singular numbers  $\{s_j(A)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is summable. The norm of an operator A in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  is defined as

$$\|A\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |s_j(A)|.$$

Along with the operator (1) we consider its derivatives with respect to the parameter x. It is easy to see that

$$\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}}\mathbb{H}(\varphi_{x}) = \mathbb{H}(\varphi_{j,x}), \tag{6}$$

where

$$\varphi_{j,x}(\lambda) = (2i)^j \lambda^j \varphi_x(\lambda), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(7)

If 
$$\varphi \in L^{\infty}(R) => \mathbb{H}(\varphi)$$
 is bounded on  $H^{2}(\Pi)$   
 $\mathbb{H}(h - \varphi_{0}) = H(\varphi_{0}), h \in H^{\infty}(\Pi).$ 

It should be noted that  $\varphi$  and h could be unbounded.

-

э

#### Theorem

If the function  $\varphi_x(\lambda)$  is of the form (2)-(5) with  $g(s) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+(1+s)^{j/2}), j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} \mathbb{H}(\varphi_x) \in \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots j,$$

and

$$\left\|rac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}\mathbb{H}(arphi_x)
ight\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \leq \left\{egin{array}{c} L_1, & x>0\ L_2\left(1+|x|
ight)^{k/2}, & x<0, \end{array}
ight.$$

where the constants  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are independent of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

A B A B A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

## **Peller's Theorem**

We say that a function  $f(\xi)$  analytic in  $\Pi$  belongs to the space  $A_1^1(\Pi)$  if and only if

$$\|f\|_{A_1^1(\Pi)} := \int\limits_0^\infty \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty |f''(\xi_1 + i\xi_2)| d\xi_1 d\xi_2 + \sup \{f(\xi)|\xi_2 \ge 1\} < \infty,$$

where  $\xi = \xi_1 + i\xi_2$  is a complex variable belonging to the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . we introduce the following modification of an analytic projection:

$$\left(\widetilde{P^{+}}f\right)(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\tau-\xi}-\frac{\tau}{1+\tau^{2}}\right)f(\tau)\,d\tau.$$

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

### Theorem (V.Peller, 1980)

Let  $\varphi \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ , Then  $\mathbb{H}(\varphi) \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  if and only if

$$\left(\widetilde{P^{+}}\overline{\varphi}\right)(\xi)\in A_{1}^{1}(\Pi).$$

3

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

#### Lemma

Let  $\varphi = h\varphi_1$ , where  $h \in H^{\infty}(\Pi)$ , and  $\varphi_1 \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . If the operator  $\mathbb{H}(\varphi_1)$  belongs  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ , then so does the operator  $\mathbb{H}(\varphi)$ , and

 $\|\mathbb{H}(\varphi)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \leq \|h\|_{L_{\infty}} \|\mathbb{H}(\varphi_1)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1}$ 

### Remark

The symbol  $\varphi_{j,x}(\lambda)$  contains the multiplier  $T(\lambda) \in H^{\infty}(\Pi)$ . Therefore, in what follows, we consider the symbol

$$\varphi_{j,x}^{0}(\lambda) = \lambda^{j} \mathcal{G}_{-}(\lambda) e^{i\Phi(\lambda,x)}$$
(8)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Applying Pellier's Theorem to the Hankel operator with this symbol of the form, we must first estimate the integrals

$$I_{j}(\xi, x) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\tau - \xi} - \frac{\tau}{1 + \tau^{2}} \right) \tau^{j} \overline{G_{-}(\tau)} e^{-i\Phi(\tau, x)} d\tau,$$
  
$$\xi \in \Pi, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$I_{j}^{(2)}(\xi,x) := \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{j} \overline{G_{-}(\tau)} e^{-i\Phi(\tau,x)}}{(\tau-\xi)^{3}} d\tau, \quad \xi \in \Pi, \ j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(9)

Image: A matrix

## The Saddle-Point Method

Using (4) we obtain

$$\overline{G_{-}(\tau)} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i2\tau s} g(s) \, ds.$$

Here and further, we assume that  $g(s) \ge 0$  almost everywhere and  $g(s) \in L_1\left(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+s)^{j/2}\right)$ . Thus, the integral (9) can be written as

$$I_{j}(\xi,x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\tau-\xi} - \frac{\tau}{1+\tau^{2}} \right) \tau^{j} e^{-i\Phi(\tau,x)} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) e^{i2\tau s} ds \right) d\tau.$$

$$(10)$$

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

Changing the order of integration, we obtain

$$I_j(\xi,x)=\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^\infty g(s)J_j(s,\xi,x)\,ds,$$

where

$$J_j(s,\xi,x) := \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\tau-\xi} - \frac{\tau}{1+\tau^2} \right) \tau^j e^{-i\Phi(\tau,x-s)} d\tau,$$
$$\Phi(\tau,x-s) = 8t\tau^3 + 2(x-s)\tau.$$

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

On the Trace-Class Hankel Operators

**H** 5 Lisbon, Portugal 15/31

э

Let us make the following change of variables

$$au=eta(s)u,\quad \xi=eta(s)\xi',\quad ext{where}\quad eta(s)=\left(rac{(s-x)}{12t}
ight)^{1/2}.$$

Setting

$$S(u) = rac{u^3}{3} - u, \quad \Lambda(s,x) := \Lambda(s) := rac{(s-x)^{3/2}}{(3t)^{1/2}}$$

we obtain

$$J_j(s,\xi,x) := \widetilde{J}_j(s,\xi',x) = eta^j(s)\widetilde{l}_j(s,\xi',x) - eta^{j+2}(s)\widehat{l}_j(s,x),$$

where

$$\widetilde{I}_{j}(s,\xi',x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u^{j} e^{-i\Lambda(s)S(u)}}{u-\xi'} du$$
(11)

$$\widehat{I}(s,x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u^{j+1} e^{-i\Lambda(s)S(u)}}{1 + \beta^2(s)u^2} \, du.$$
(12)

Image: A marked bit is a standard st

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

On the Trace-Class Hankel Operators

**H** 5 Lisbon, Portugal 16/31

3

Let us find a saddle-point contour for the integral (12). The critical points  $u_{\pm}$  can be found from the equation

$$S'(u) = u^2 - 1 = 0, \quad u_{\pm} = \pm 1.$$

It is easy to calculate that

$$S(u_{\pm}) = \mp \frac{2}{3}, \quad S''(u_{\pm}) = \pm 2, \quad S'''(u_{\pm}) = 2.$$

Thus, the saddle-point contours are determined by the equations

$$S(u) + \frac{2}{3} = (u-1)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(u-1)^3 = -iv^2, \ v \in \mathbb{R},$$
(13)

$$S(u) - \frac{2}{3} = -(u+1)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(u-1)^3 = -iv^2, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (14)

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

It is easy show that Esq. (13) and (14) are uniquely solvable for any  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ . We denote their solutions by  $u_{\pm}(v)$  and introduce the saddle-point counters

$$\Gamma_{\pm} := \{ z = u_{\pm}(v) | v \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

It is easy to see that, in a neighborhood of the critical points  $(u_{\pm}(0) = \pm 1)$ , the following asymptotic relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} u &:= u_+(v) = 1 + e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}v + O(v^2), \quad v \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \\ u &:= u_-(v) = -1 + e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}v + O(v^2), \quad v \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that, for sufficiently large v, we have

$$\begin{array}{cccc} u_{+}(v) \sim \sqrt[3]{3} & e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} & |v|^{2/3}, & v \to -\infty \\ u_{+}(v) \sim \sqrt[3]{3} & e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}} & v^{2/3}, & v \to +\infty \\ u_{-}(v) \sim \sqrt[3]{3} & e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} & v^{2/3}, & v \to +\infty \\ u_{-}(v) \sim \sqrt[3]{3} & e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}\pi} & |v|^{2/3}, & v \to -\infty \end{array} \right)$$

## Estimation of the Second Term of Peller Theorem

#### Lemma

The integral (12) can be estimated as

$$|\widehat{l_j}(s,x)| \leq rac{\mathrm{const}}{eta^2(s) \Lambda^{1/2}(s)},$$

where "const" is independent of s and x.

### Lemma

The integral (11) can be represented as

$$\widetilde{I_j}(s,\xi',x) = \widetilde{I_j^+}(s,\xi',x) + \widetilde{I_j^-}(s,\xi',x) + \widetilde{I_j}_{,\mathrm{Res}}(s,\xi',x)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \left|\widetilde{I_{j}^{\pm}}(s,\xi',x)\right| &\leq \operatorname{const} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{|\xi'\mp 1|\Lambda^{1/2}(s)}, & |\xi'\mp 1|\Lambda^{1/2}(s) \geq 1, \\ 1, & |\xi'\mp 1|\Lambda^{1/2}(s) \leq 1, \end{array} \right. \\ \left|\widetilde{I_{j,\mathrm{Res}}^{0}}(s,\xi',x)\right| &\leq \operatorname{const}, \end{split}$$
and "const", is independent of s,  $\xi'$  and x.

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Theorem

Let  $I_j(\xi, x)$  be the expression given by (10), and let  $g(s) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+s)^{j/2})$ . Then, for j = 0, 1, ...,

$$|I_j(\xi,x)| \leq \left\{egin{array}{cl} c_1, & x \geq 0 \ c_1 + c_2 |x|^{j/2}, & x < 0, \end{array}
ight.$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are independent of  $\xi$  and x.

Substituting representation (4) into (9), we see that

$$I_{j}^{(2)}(\xi, x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{j} e^{-i\Phi(\tau, x)}}{(\tau - \xi)^{3}} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) e^{i2\tau s} ds \right) d\tau.$$
(15)

Changing the order of integration, we obtain the representation

$$I_{j}^{(2)}(\xi,x) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(s) J_{j}^{(2)}(s,\xi,x) ds,$$

where

$$J_{j}^{(2)}(s,\xi,x) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{j} e^{-i\Phi(\tau,x-s)}}{(\tau-\xi)^{3}} d\tau.$$
(16)

A D N A B N A B

Making the same change of variables in the integral (16), we see that

$$J_{j}^{(2)}(s,\xi,x) = \beta(s)^{j-2} \widetilde{I_{j}^{(2)}}(s,\xi',x),$$

where

$$\widetilde{I_{j}^{(2)}}(s,\xi',x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u^{j} e^{-i\Lambda(s)S(u)}}{(u-\xi')^{3}} du.$$
(17)

Image: A matrix

э

### Lemma

The integral (17) can be represented as

$$\widetilde{I_{j}^{(2)}}(s,\xi',x) = \widetilde{I_{j,+}^{(2)}}(s,\xi',x) + \widetilde{I_{j,-}^{(2)}}(s,\xi',x) + \widetilde{I_{j,Res}^{(2)}}(s,\xi',x),$$

where

$$\begin{split} |\widetilde{I_{j,\pm}^{(2)}}(s,\xi',x)| &\leq \mathrm{const} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{|\xi'\mp 1|^3\Lambda^{1/2}(s)}, & |\xi'\mp 1|\Lambda^{1/2}(s) \geq 1\\ \Lambda(s), & |\xi'\mp 1|\Lambda^{1/2}(s) \leq 1 \end{array} \right. \\ |\widetilde{I}_{j,Res}^{(2)}(s,\xi',x)| &\leq \mathrm{const} \left\{ \Lambda(s)^{-\frac{j-2}{3}} |\xi''|^{j-2} \left( |\xi''|^6 + |\xi''|^3 + 1 \right) e^{-c|\xi''|^3} \right\} \\ here \ \xi'' &= \xi'\Lambda^{1/3}(s), c > 0 \ and \ "\mathrm{const}" \ are \ independent \ of \ s, x \ and \\ \xi' \in \Pi \setminus (D_1 \cup D_{-1}). \end{split}$$

### Theorem

Let  $I_j^{(2)}(\xi',x)$  be the function given by (15), and let  $g(s) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+,(1+|s|)^{j/2})$ . Then

$$A(x) := \int\limits_{\Pi} |I_j^{(2)}(\xi, x)| d\xi \leq \left\{ egin{array}{c} c_3, \ x \geq 0 \ c_3 + c_4 |x|^{j/2}, \ x < 0, \end{array} 
ight.$$

where  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are independent of x.

くぼう くほう くほう しゅ

# Applications to the Korteweg-de Vries Equation

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} - 6u(x,t)\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 u(x,t)}{\partial x^3} = 0, \quad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
$$u(x,0) = q(x),$$
inf Spec ( $\mathbb{L}_q$ ) =  $-a^2 > -\infty$  (is bounded below); (18)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|x|)^N |q(x)| \, dx < \infty, \quad N \ge 1 \quad (\text{decreases at} + \infty).$$
$$L_q = -\partial_x^2 + q - \text{Schrödinger operator.}$$

The condition

$$\sup_{|I|=1}\int_{I}\max(-q(x),0)dx<\infty$$

is sufficient for (18).

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

# Inverse Scattering Method (GGKM-Gardner, Green, Kruskal, Miuro)

Solving the Schrödinger equation  $\mathbb{L}_q u = k^2 u$  we find  $S_0 = \{R(k), (\kappa_n, c_n)\}$ , where  $R(k), k \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the reflection coefficient and  $(\kappa_n, c_n), n = 1, 2, ..., N$ , are the so-called data on bound states associated with the eigenvalues,  $-\kappa_n^2$ .

Step 3 reduces to solving the inverse scattering problem for recovering the potential u (x, t) (which now depends on t ≥ 0) from S(t). This procedure leads to the following explicit formula, which is usually called the Dyson determinant:

$$u(x,t) = -2\partial_x^2 \log \det \left(I + \mathbb{H}(x,t)\right).$$

くロッ くぼう くほう くほう 二日

# Symbol of the Hankel Operator

$$\varphi_{x,t}(k) = R(k)\xi_{x,t}(k) + \int_0^a \frac{\xi_{x,t}(is)d\rho(s)}{s+ik},$$

where  $-a^2$  is the lower bound of the spectrum of  $\mathbb{L}_q$  and  $\rho(s)$  is a measure with the properties

Supp 
$$\rho \subseteq [0, a], \quad d\rho \ge 0, \quad \int_0^a d\rho < \infty.$$

 $\mathbb{H}(x,t) = \mathbb{H}(\Phi_{x,t}) + \mathbb{H}(\xi_{x,t}R_0),$ 

where  $\Phi_{x,t}$  is a meromorphic function in the upper half-plane (its particular form is inessential) and  $R_0$  is the reflection coefficient of q bounded on  $(0, \infty)$ .

For  $R_0$  we have the representation

$${{\it R}_{0}}\left( \lambda 
ight) = {\it T}\left( \lambda 
ight) \int_{0}^{\infty } {{e^{ - 2i\lambda s}}g\left( s 
ight) ds},$$

where  $T \in H^{\infty}(\Pi)$ , so that  $T(\lambda) = O(1/\lambda)$ ,  $|\lambda| \to \infty$ , g is a function subject to the only constraint

$$\left| g\left( s
ight) 
ight| \leq \left| q\left( s
ight) 
ight| +const\int_{s}^{\infty }\left| q
ight| .$$

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

On the Trace-Class Hankel Operators

Lisbon, Portugal 29 / 31

# **Global Classical Solution of KDV**

 Ø Main Theorem implies: For the operator 𝔑(ξ<sub>x,t</sub> R<sub>0</sub>), we proved that if

$$\int^{\infty}\left(1+\left|s\right|\right)^{N}\,\left|q\left(s\right)\right|ds<\infty,$$

then

$$\frac{\partial^{n+m}}{\partial x^n \partial t^m} \mathbb{H}\left(\xi_{x,t} R_0\right) \in \mathfrak{S}_1$$

for all n and m, satisfying the condition

$$n+3m\leq 2N-1.$$

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

#### Theorem

Suppose that the (real) initial profile q satisfies the condition

$$\inf \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{L}_q\right) = -a^2 > -\infty$$
 (is bounded below),

$$\int^{\infty}\left(1+|x|
ight)^{N}\left|q\left(x
ight)
ight|dx<\infty,\quad N\geq1\quad (\textit{decreases}+\infty).$$

Then the function  $\tau(x,t) := \det(1 + \mathbb{H}(x,t))$  is well defined on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , and its classical derivatives  $\partial^{n+m}\tau(x,t) / \partial x^n \partial t^m$  exist provided that  $n + 3m \le 2N - 1$ . Moreover, for  $N \ge 3$  the Cauchy problem has a global (in time) classical solution which is given by

$$u(x,t) = -2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \log \tau (x,t), \quad t > 0.$$

S. M. Grudsky (CINVESTAV)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >